UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,750	07/17/2003	Darin W. Buchtel	END919990078US4	1802
	7590 07/11/200 IENBERG FARLEY &	EXAMINER		
5 COLUMBIA CIRCLE			MCCORMICK, GABRIELLE A	
ALBANY, NY 12203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/621,750	BUCHTEL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

		CARTITICE IN COCINING IN	0020
7	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY	FILED 23 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.
applica applica	oly was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tion, applicant must timely file one of the following tion in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe tinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Cost	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
	e period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing		
no Exa	e period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire laminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (1) AND INC. OF THE FINAL PROPERTY OF T	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of have been filed under 37 CFR set forth in (b)	DNTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date d is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later by earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
	otice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months of the date of
filing th	e Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externor of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
(a) 🔲 ⁻	roposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT	
(c) -	They are not deemed to place the application in betappeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	
	They present additional claims without canceling a on NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.
	mendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	ant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,
non-all	proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s).	·	
how the The sta Claim(s Claim(s Claim(s	rposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) le new or amended claims would be rejected is proventus of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: a) allowed: b) objected to: b) rejected: b) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an explanation of
<u>AFFIDAVIT (</u>	OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
becaus	idavit or other evidence filed after a final action, buse applicant failed to provide a showing of good and tearlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).		
entered	idavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing d because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o g a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails to provide a
	ffidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation OR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
11. 🛛 The re	equest for reconsideration has been considered bu Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowance because:
12.	the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/John G. V Supervisor	Veiss/ ry Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments were considered but not persuasive.

With regard to claims 1, 6 and 15, applicant argues that Kotter does not disclose "ascertaining through research with customer of the organization desired characteristics for the organization from a customer perspective". The Examiner points to several citations in Kotter which refer to customer input: companies rely on customers to bring unwanted information regarding a competitive postione (pg. 60; para. 7); customer-satisfaction surveys (pg. 60; para. 8); and inclusion of a customer on a guiding coalition (pg. 62; para. 4). It is old and well known to use customer satisfaction surveys to ascertain "desried characterisitcs". Further, the direct involvement of a customer with the leadership set up to guide the change would also provide research from the customer perspective for desired characteristics. Applicant's disclosure provides that this "ascertaining" can be from "a voice of the customer study". (P[0022]). Again, customer surveys are understood to comprise a customer study.

Applicant argues that Kotter does not disclose "employing the leadership of the organization to define cultural characteristics for the organization using, at least in part, the desired characteristics ascertained through research with the customers of the organization". The Examiner disagrees. Kotter discloses an Eight Step transformation process. Step 1 used feedback from customers and surveys as discussed above to identifying crises and major oppotunities (pg. 61). Step 2- 5 involve implementation steps that include creating leadership for the change process (as it stems from step 1, the leadership is directed to the crises and opportunities discovered in step 1, such as the "desired characteristics".) Kotter discloses a cultural change project (i.e., cultural characteristics defined based on desired characteristics) (pg. 63; para. 4); treating people fairly (pg. 64; para at top of page) and executives (i.e., leadership) who "walk the talk" to become a symbol of the new corporate culture. (pb. 64; para. 3).

Kotter provides a disclosure for "defining by leadership...the cultural characteristics in behavioral terms": "in successful cases of major change (executives) learn to "walk the talk." They become a living symbol of the new corporate culture." (pg. 64; para. 3).

Kotter further, in Step 4, communicates the vision and strategies and teaches new behaviors. (pg. 61). The introduction of Attenello teaches the additional step of validating the vision, strategies and new behaviors using a focus group.

Applicant's additional arguments regarding claims 6 and 11-14 are not persuasive.